

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/524,110	12/05/2005	Harlan A Hurwitz	115572.01	4394		
25944 05/2272009 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			CHAMPAONE, LUNA			
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3627				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/27/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/524,110 HURWITZ ET AL. Office Action Summary

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LUNA CHAMPAGNE	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONITHS from the maining date of the communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period was a fixed to the communication of the communic	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I.  sely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma	av 2006					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	o morite is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E			, mento io			
·	x parto Quaylo, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 21</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ol>						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li></ol>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 2/10/05 is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•.,		FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	priority under 25 LLC C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(u) or (r).				
·- ·- ·-	s have been received					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.      Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	•	u III tilis ivational	Stage			
		d				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5). Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/10/05.	6) Other:	- Type State				

Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/10/05.	6) Other:	
S. Datest and Tradamark Office		_

#### DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to communication received on 5/9/2006. Claims 1-19, and 21 are submitted for examination. Claims 20, 22-160 are cancelled.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

#### 1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 2, 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. Based on Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions, a 35 U.S.C § 101 process must (1) be tied to a particular machine or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. In re Bilski et al, 88 USPQ 2d 1385 CAFC (2008); Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876).

An example of a method claim that would <u>not qualify</u> as a statutory process would be a claim that recited purely mental steps. Thus, to qualify as a § 101 statutory process, the claim should positively recite the particular machine to which it is tied, for example by identifying the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps, or positively recite the subject matter that is being transformed, for example by identifying the material that is being changed to a different state.

Here, applicant's method steps are not tied to a particular machine and do not perform a transformation. Thus, the claims are non-statutory.

The mere recitation of the machine in the preamble with an absence of a machine in the body of the claim fails to make the claim statutory under 35 USC 101. Note the Board of Patent Appeals Informative Opinion Ex parte Langemyer et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-19, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nichtberger et al. (Re. 34.915).

Re claims 1, 14, 21, Nichtberger et al. disclose a method of electronically managing payment media in a retail store, the method comprising: automatically determining the possibility of providing a proposed payment media management solution in response to a payment media processing request; and providing the proposed payment media management solution, if possible, in response to the request. wherein the proposed payment media management solution includes one or more of at least a start fund solution, a payment media change dispensing solution, a payment media advance solution, a bank deposit solution, a coupon redemption solution and a payment media acceptance operation solution, wherein a retail store includes one or more of at least a single store, multiple stores, one or more third party concession Art Unit: 3627

stands located within a single store and two or more stores located within a mall (see

e.g. col. 17, lines 54-67; col. 29, lines 26-30).

Re claim 2, Nichtberger et al. disclose a method, wherein the request is provided

by an operator in a retail store (see e.g. col. 28, lines 39-44).

Re claims 3, 4, 17, Nichtberger et al. disclose a method wherein the request is

automatically provided by a controller in response to instructions stored on a machine-

readable storage medium (see e.g. col. 29, lines 47-50; col. 28, lines 1-3); a method

wherein the step of automatically determining the proposed payment media

management solution is performed based on one or more of at least a group of rules

stored in a lookup table, instructions provided for a retail store, instructions provided by

an entity other than a retail store, and instructions based on real time or substantially

real time analysis of payment media activity information that is electronically obtained in

a retail store during a predetermined period of time (see e.g. col. 29, lines 47-50).

Re claims 5, 18, Nichtberger et al. disclose a method wherein the group of rules

considers one or more of at least one of retailer type, retailer size, retailer location, type

of payment media originating device where the proposed payment media management

solution is to be provided, the specific payment media originating device for which the

proposed payment media management solution distribution is requested, time of day for

the proposed payment media management solution distribution, calendar date for the

Application/Control Number: 10/524,110

Art Unit: 3627

proposed payment media management solution distribution, calendar date of national or local holidays, calendar date of scheduled festivities or organized events, and a retail store scheduled sales event (see e.g. col. 19, lines 45-47).

Re claims 6, 19, Nichtberger et al. disclose payment media activity information includling an electronic point of sale (EPOS) data (electronic cash register see e.g. col. 3, lines 34-37 – electronically collected data – see e.g. col. 18, lines 31-32), payment media information from an automatic teller machine located in a retail store ( see e.g. col. 3, lines 34-37 – automated checkout system), a value of payment media in a retail store (coupon discount value see e.g. col. 22, line 67).

Although Nichtberger et al. do not explicitly disclose the payment media refund amount information, frequency and size of payment media refunds processed within a predetermined period of time, payment media information from a third party payment media redemption machine located in a retail store, a denomination of payment media in a retail store, media type and payment media pickup or delivery information scheduled by a cash-in-transit operator, it is considered a obvious variation of Nichtberger et al. to include this type of information, in order to broaden the system.

Re claim 7, Nichtberger et al. disclose a method wherein the instructions provided by the entity other than a retail store are provided to a retail store via a communication network (see e.g. col. 29, lines 51-55; col. 27, lines 64-65).

Re claim 8, Nichtberger et al. disclose a method wherein the step of determining the proposed payment media management solution requires no additional input other than the payment media processing request (see e.g. col. 24, lines 39-50).

Re claims 9, 10, Nichtberger et al. disclose a method further comprising: modifying the proposed payment media management solution based on further input; where the further input is from a retail store operator requesting the payment media management solution (see e.g. col. 22, lines 45-48; Notice that a card has been inserted to start the process. It is understood that either the customer or the retail store operator could insert the card into the unit as described in col. 5, lines 19-27).

Re claim 11, Nichtberger et al. disclose a method wherein a plurality of the proposed payment media management solutions are simultaneously provided for a plurality of operators in a retail store (see e.g. col. 5, lines 3-6 – local stations 10).

Re claims 12, 15, Nichtberger et al. disclose a method wherein one or more proposed payment media management solutions are provided for at least one payment media originating source (see e.g. col. 5, lines 28-33 coupons are redeemed).

Re claims 13, 16, Nichtberger et al. disclose a method wherein the at least one payment media originating source comprises one or more of at least a retail till, a Application/Control Number: 10/524,110 Page 7

Art Unit: 3627

retailer back office, a coin redemption device and a third party retail concession (see

e.g. col. 17, lines 57-58 - the cash register terminal).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to LUNA CHAMPAGNE whose telephone number is

(571)272-7177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Florian Zeender can be reached on (571) 272-6790. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Luna Champagne/ Examiner, Art Unit 3627

/F. Ryan Zeender/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627